



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,140 | 02/14/2002 | Paul Durrant | 5681-10800 6877 | |
| 7590 03/02/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| B. Noel Kivlin | | | DUNCAN, MARC M | |
| Conley, Rose, & | t Tayon, P.C. | | | |
| P.O. Box 398 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Austin, TX 78767 | | | 2113 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/075,140 | DURRANT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Marc Duncan | 2113 | | |

| | Marc Duncan | 2113 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | iress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | ion. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | • • | 36(a) and the appropria | ite extension fee | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 000000 | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO` w); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mnliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Americanone | (1 10L-02+). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an o | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-24 and 32</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the arguments are not persuasive. | at does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2113

ADVISORY ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18-24 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Canady et al. (6,385,665).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Duncan whose telephone number is 571-272-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,140

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

md

Put Manshall

Page 3